For the Northern District of California

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7 8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	ROY D. NEWPORT, et. al.,	No. C 10-04511 WHA
111213	Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants, v. BURGER KING CORPORATION,	ORDER DENYING BURGER KING CORPORATION'S REQUESTS FOR LEAVE TO FILE A MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFFS'
14 15	Defendant/Counter-Claimant.	ERRATA AND RESPONSE TO BURGER KING CORPORATION'S DISCOVERY LETTER
16		
17	The Court is in receipt of Burger King Co	orporation's request and plaintiffs' opposition to
18	the request for leave to file a motion to strike plan	intiffs' errata to the October 7, 2011, joint
19	discovery letter (Dkt. Nos. 208, 211). The Court	is also in receipt of Burger King's request and

plaintiffs' opposition to the request for leave to file a motion to strike plaintiffs' response to Burger King's discovery letter (Dkt. Nos. 209, 212).

Discovery dispute issues have been referred to Magistrate Judge Ryu. The parties have been put on notice of Magistrate Judge Ryu's discovery dispute procedures (Dkt. No. 118). Discovery dispute issues, such as those addressed in the requests at issue here, shall be decided by Magistrate Judge Ryu. Therefore, Burger King's request for leave to file a motion to strike

Case3:10-cv-04511-WHA Document217 Filed10/25/11 Page2 of 2

plaintiffs' errata to the October 7, 2011, joint discovery letter is DENIED . Burger King's reque	st
for leave to file a motion to strike plaintiffs' response to Burger King's discovery letter is	
DENIED.	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 25, 2011.

